

# ON THE $Q_{1,N}$ -DEGREES OF $r$ -MAXIMAL SETS

*Roland Omanadze*

E-mail: [roland.omanadze@tsu.ge](mailto:roland.omanadze@tsu.ge)

Department of Mathematics, I.Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University

1, Chavchavdze Ave., 0218 Tbilisi, Georgia

Tennenbaum (see, [4, p.159]) defined the notion of  $Q$ -reducibility on sets of natural numbers as follows: a set  $A$  is  $Q$ -reducible to a set  $B$  (in symbols:  $A \leq_Q B$ ) if there exists a computable function  $f$  such that for every  $x \in \omega$  (where  $\omega$  denotes the set of natural numbers),

$$x \in A \Leftrightarrow W_{f(x)} \subseteq B.$$

We say in this case that  $A \leq_Q B$  via  $f$ . If  $A \leq_Q B$  via a computable function  $f$  such that for all  $x, y$ ,

$x \neq y \Rightarrow W_{f(x)} \cap W_{f(y)} = \emptyset$  and  $\bigcup_{x \in \omega} W_{f(x)}$  is computable, then we say that  $A$  is  $Q_{1,N}$ -reducible to  $B$ , and denoted  $A \leq_{Q_{1,N}} B$ . The notion of  $Q_{1,N}$  reducibility was introduced by Bulitko in [1].

A c.e. set  $M$  is  $r$ -maximal if  $\bar{M}$  is infinite and for every computable  $R$ , either  $R \cap \bar{M}$  or  $\bar{R} \cap \bar{M}$  is finite.

If  $A$  is any noncomputable c.e. set, a *nontrivial splitting* of  $A$  is a pair of disjoint noncomputable c.e. sets  $A_0, A_1$  such that  $A = A_0 \cup A_1$ .

A set  $A$  is *hemi  $r$ -maximal* (see, [2]) if there are a  $r$ -maximal set  $M$  and a nontrivial splitting  $M_0, M_1$  of  $M$  such that  $A = M_0$ .

Our notation and terminology are standard and can be found in [4].

**Theorem 1.** Let  $M$  be an  $r$ -maximal set,  $A$  be an arbitrary set and  $M \equiv_{Q_{1,N}} A$ . Then  $M \leq_m A$ .

Given c.e. sets  $A \subseteq B$ ,  $A$  is major subset of  $B$  (written  $A \subset_m B$ ) (see, [3]) if  $B-A$  is infinite and for every c.e. set  $W$ ,  $\bar{B} \subseteq^* W \Rightarrow \bar{A} \subseteq^* W$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $M$  be an  $r$ -maximal set,  $A$  be a major subset of  $M$ ,  $B$  be an arbitrary set and  $M-A \equiv_{Q_{1,N}} B$ . Then  $M \leq_m B$ .

**Theorem 3.** If  $C, D$  are hemi  $r$ -maximal sets then

$$C \equiv_{Q_{1,N}} D \Leftrightarrow C \equiv_1 D.$$

[1] V.K.Bulitko, On ways of characterizing complete sets, Math. USSR, Izv. vol 38 (1992), no.2.

[2] R.G.Downey and M.Stob, Automorphisms of the lattice of recursively enumerable sets: Orbits. Advances in Mathematics, vol.92 (1992).

[3] A.H.Lachlan, On the lattice of recursively enumerable sets, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.,130, 1 (1968).

[4] H.Rogers, Theory of recursive functions and effective computability. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1967.

